(ख) और (ग) वर्ष 1992-93 के दौरान, जिस अवधि की जानकारी उपलब्ध है, सरकारी क्षेत्र के 17 उद्यमों में से सरकारी क्षेत्र के 5 उद्यमों अर्थात् भारत इम्यूनोलाजिकल एण्ड बायोलाजिकल कंपनी लि०, भारत लेदर कारपोरेशन, कानपुर टेक्सटाईल लि०, एलिन मिल्स कंपनी लि० और तेल एवं प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग ने लाभकारिता में सुधार दर्शाया है । निवल लाभ/हानि की 5 वर्षों की प्रवृति का कंपनी-वार ब्यौरा 1992-93 के लोक उद्यम सर्वेक्षण के खण्ड-I के पृष्ठ-विवरण-43 में विवरण-52 में दिया गया है ।

(घ) लाभकारिता में सुधार करने के लिये उद्यम-वार अलग-अलग उपाय किये जाते हैं । बहरहाल, इस संबंध में किए गए उपायों में समझौता ज्ञापन पर हस्ताक्षर करना, निदेशक मण्डल का व्यावसायीकरण, निदेशक मण्डल को अधिक शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन, रूग्ण उद्यमों के लिये पुनरूद्धार/पुनर्स्थापना पैकेज तैयार करने के लिए उन्हे औद्योगिक एवं वित्तीय पुनर्गठन मण्डल को भेजना, विविधिकरण और उत्पाद-मिश्र,, प्रौद्योगिकी उन्नयन आदि शामिल हैं ।

Purchase preference policy

7025. SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Purchase preference policy vide Government O.M. No. GL. 001/83-23/1.83-BPE/MM dated 20th April, 1983 is extended to Public Enterprises in competition with Private Enterprises only;

(b) if not, whether the Purchase preference policy can be extended to a particular Public Enterprise against another Public Enterprise on selective basis; and

(c) undre what Government direction/ O.M. the same is being done?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (c) The Government O.M. No. GL-001/83-23/1/83-BPE/MM dated 20th April, 1983 and others on Price and Purchase Preference were superseded by an O.M. of January 1992 allowing only Purchase Preference of Central Government enterprises while dealing with other Central Government enterprises and with the Central Government. The O.M. was issued with due approval of the Government.

Status of State Government Undertakings

7026. SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government Undertakings are also considered as Public Enterprises; and

(b) if not, what is the basis on which they are differentiated from Central Government Undertakings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI): (a) and (b) Section 617 of the Companies Act, 1956 defines a Government company. If in such a company the major shareholding and control is that of the State Government then it is a State Government Undertaking. Conversely, if the major share holding and control is that of the Central Government then it is a Central Government Undertaking. In addition, statutary corporations can be established. Both Central and State Government Undertakings/Corporations are public enterprises, the latter category being normally referred to as State level public enterprises (SLPEs).

Small scale industries in Uttar Pradesh

7027. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the District-wise number of Small Scale Industries set up at present in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) what is the number of persons working in these industries on daily wages and monthly wages, separately;

(c) whether some of those industries are becoming sick; and

(d) if so, what measures are being taken by Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) According to the information furnished by the Commissioner of Industries, Government of Uttar Pradesh, 247907 Small Scale Units coming under the purview of Small Industries Development Organisation (SIDO) were registered on permanent basis as on 31.12.1992. District-wise details of these units is given in Statement-I (*See* below).

(b) The present status of the number of persons working in these industries on daily wages and monthly wages, separately is not available. However, the details as available from the results of the 2nd ALL-INDIA Census of SSI Units conducted by DC(SSI) during 1989-91 for the reference year 1987-88 is given in Statement-II, (*See* below).

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Measures for the rehabilitation of viable sick units are being taken on regular basis through State level Inter-Institutional Committee and State level Rehabilitation Committee. Under State Margin Money Scheme, 50 per cent margin required under rehabilitation package prepared by financial institution is provided to the maximum limit of Rs. 5 lakhs. The Uttar Pradesh Financial Corporation provides assistance for rehabilitation of sick units in the form of additional loan, reschedulement of o'd dues, one-time settlement, etc. Through Udyog Bandhu a forum for redressal of grievances of industrial units, the cases of sick units under rehabilitation are actively pursued with concerned agencies.

Statement-I

District-wise cumulative number of SIDO Units registered on permanent basis with State Directorate of Industries, Government of Uttar Pradesh upto 31-12-1992

S. No.	Name of the District	Cumulative No. of Units as on 31-12-1992
1	2	3
1	Agra	8165
2	Aligarh	6480
3	Allahabad	7727
4	Almora	2803
5	Azamgarh	3043
6	Bahraich	2434
7	Ballia	3285
8	Banda	1846
9	Barabanki	3684
10	Bareilly	6313
11	Basti	3229
12	Bijnore	2565

1	2	3
13	Badaun	4713
14	Bulandshahr	8144
15	Chamoli	1555
16	Dehradun	4248
17	Deoria	3599
18	Etah	2551
19	Etawah	4025
20	Faizabad	4034
21	Farrukhabad	4185
22	Fatehpur	3599
23	Pauri Garhwal	1673
24	Ghazipur	3471
25	Gonda	2620
26	Gorakhpur	4286
27	Hamirpur	2388
28	Hardoi	2798
29	Jalaun	2152
30	Jaunpur	3604
31	Jhansi	4676
32	Kanpur	7016
33	Lakhimpur Kheri	2209
34	Lucknow	6503
35	Mainpuri	3058
36	Mathura	4352
37	Meerut	12411
38	Mirzapur	4112
39	Muradabad	7863
40	Muzaffar Nagar	8141
41	Nainital	3912
42	Pilibhit	3658
43	Pithoragarh	1245
44	Pratapgarh	2871
45	Rai Bareli	3176

1	2	3
46	Rampur	2555
47	Saharanpur	7769
48	Shahjahanpur	4213
49	Sitapur	2875
50	Sultanpur	3718
51	Tehri Garhwal	1573
52	Unnao	3801
53	Uttarkashi	1561
54	Varanasi	7956
55	Lalitpur	2279
56	Ghaziabad	
57	Noida	10410
58	Kanpur Dehat	2978
59	Hardwar	983
60	Maunath Bhanjan	603
61	Siddharthanagar	581
62	Sonebhandra	951
63	Firojabad	2088
64	Maharajganj	594
	TOTAL	247907

Statement-II

Districtwise and categorywise employment in registered Small Scale sector in Uttar Pradesh

Sl. No.	District	Classification of Employees (NOs.)			
		Direct/ Regular	Casual/ Contract	Total Employed	Self Employed
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Agra	11483	20988	32471	3333
2	Aligar	7137	4326	11463	1844
3	Allahabad.	4969	2271	7240	1618

1	2	3	4	5	6
4	Almora	1540	636	2176	758
5	Azamgarh	1712	1540	3252	987
6	Bahraich	1312	2065	3377	658
7	Ballia	1876	1204	3080	1136
8	Banda	712	1035	1747	614
9	Barabanki	1928	922	2850	893
10	Bareilliy	3972	7023	10995	2091
11	Basti	1980	1040	3020	794
12	Bijnore	1392	1823	3215	510
13	Badaun	1872	2892	4764	1222
14	Bulandshahr	5721	4406	10127	2133
15	Chamoli	828	209	1037	445
16	Dehradun	3378	1534	4904	1052
17	Deoria	2125	1497	3622	1172
18	Etah	883	1668	2551	599
19	Etawah	1768	1467	3235	851
20	Faizabad	2803	1165	3968	886
21	Farrukhabad	2592	1367	3959	1003
22	Fatehpur	1386	800	2186	716
23	Pauri Garhwal	1231	76	1307	466
24	Ghazipur	1545	1911	3456	1043
25	Gonda	1034	1477	2511	686
26	Gorakhpur	3722	1198	4920	1145
27	Hamirpur	516	897	1413	509
28	Hardoi	5412	2186	7598	518
29	Jalaun	1348	469	1817	576
30	Jaunpur	2297	953	3250	818
31	Jhansi	1344	2307	3651	1048
32	Kanpur	17266	5809	23075	3738
33	Lakhimpur Khe	1108	1422	2530	608
34	Lucknow	7985	2327	10312	1943
35	Mainpuri	1365	4447	5812	1079
36	Mathura	4888	1115	6003	1423

1	2	3	4	5	6
37	Meerut	10186	5719	15905	3294
38	Mirzapur	1976	3366	5342	933
39	Moradabad	9822	3338	13160	2244
40	Muzaffarnagar	8240	3306	11545	1367
41	Nainital	4392	3403	7795	1086
42	Pilibhit	3631	847	4478	747
43	Pithoragarh	616	218	834	288
44	Pratapgarh	1180	411	1591	585
45	Raibareli	2650	2961	5611	924
46	Rampur	1494	2698	4192	533
47	Saharanpur	5639	3924	9563	1986
48	Shahjahanpur	1830	2218	3048	769
49	Sitapur	753	1139	1892	489
50	Sultanpur	3786	141	3927	1354
51	Tehri Garhwal	1220	337	1557	446
52	Unnao	1484	1397	2881	517
53	Uttar Kashi	605	164	769	340
54	Varanasi	10005	2714	12719	2422
55	Lalitpur	976	1063	2039	521
56	Ghaziabad	18744	2559	21303	2529
57	Noida	12921	609	13530	1545
58	Kanpur Dehat	750	622	1372	313
	TOTAL	217282	131626	348908	66017

Industrial projects in U.P. pending Cabinet clearance

7028. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state.

(a) what are the proposals for establishing industries in Uttar Pradesh which have been cleared by all other authorities but are pending with the Cabinet for its approval; and

(b) what are the reasons for delay in granting Cabinet approval?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI): (a) and (b) Applications under the provisions of Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 for grant of licences for setting up of industries do not require Cabinet approval anymore.

Industrially backward districts in U.P.

7029. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME